



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/074,137	02/12/2002	Junichi Yamagishi	F-7234	8924	
28107	7590 07/19/2006		EXAMINER		
JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP		HASHEM, LISA			
122 EAST 42ND STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	SUITE 4000 NEW YORK, NY 10168			2614	
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/074,137	YAMAGISHI, JUNICHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lisa Hashem	2614		

	Lisa Hashem	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CI	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	w);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a d	corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of imany rep	ected ciairris.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment ((PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant / incliantont ((1 102 024).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	·	-	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6,7,10,11,13-15 and 17-24</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			ice because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: 	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
Lisa Harhem			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See In re McLaughlin, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13-15, and 17-24 in the instant application were rejected under 103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 6,846,238 by Wells.

In one embodiment of Wells, a game play portion is separate from the mobile communication terminal, wherein a game is played on the mobile communication terminal (col. 12, lines 52-61). In a second embodiment of Wells, a game play portion is separate from the mobile communication terminal, wherein a game is played exclusively on the game play portion (col. 2, lines 25-34; col. 8, line 47 - col. 9, line 10; col. 11, lines 55-67). It is obvious to modify the first embodiment of Wells to include playing a game exclusively on said game play portion and not on the mobile communication terminal as taught in the second embodiment of Wells to enable a user to play on a stationary machine or game play portion (Fig. 1, 100) when the game play portion is separate from the mobile communication terminal. The mobile communication terminal enables the user to play on the stationary machine because the user was already playing a game session on the mobile communication terminal while roaming away from the stationary machine, the user decides to stop playing the game session on the mobile communication terminal, the user returns to the stationary machine, and the user resumes playing the game session on the stationary machine (col. 11, lines 55-67).

Newly presented claims 1, 2, 10, 11, and 21-24 include new limitations that were not presented in the Amendment filed on 2-17-2006. The newly added limitations would require further search/consideration.

FAN TSÁNG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600